

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,585	03/15/2004	Yoshiya Tomatsu	119090 8277	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 06/29/2007		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1992	28		GRAINGER, QUANA MASHELL	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2852	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/799,585	TOMATSU, YOSHIY	A
Examiner	Art Unit	
Quana M. Grainger	2852	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
zororo uno rumigior un ruppour ziror						
	Quana M. Grainger	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires and (1) the mailing data of this Adv.	•	a final raisation, whichave	orio lotor In no			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		TOTAL TITLE				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ioctod claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			. (			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other: 892.</li></ul>	(F10/36/06) Paper NO(S).					
4-9/						
		Quana M Grainger Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2852				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Kellie never states that the first nip area is above glass transition temperature. However, Kellie states that the second nip is above glass transition temperature and he gives the temperature range for this nip to be 130C to 220C. Thus, glass transition temperature for this toner is less than or equal to 130C (since this nip temperature is above glass transition temperature). Kellie gives the temperature range for the second nip to be 100C to 150C. Therefore, Kellie teaches that the second nip temperature should about 20C less than or ABOVE glass transition temperature, if we take the glass transition temperature to be 130C. Applicant also argues that Kellie uses liquid toner and the instant invention uses dry toner. Nevertheless, Kellie teaches the claimed invention which does not include dry toner. And just for the record, the examiner has cited a dry toner image forming device that teaches a prefusing temperature that is above or equal to glass transition temperature.